UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/624,428	07/21/2003	Ligang Lu	YOR920030210US1	6431
Devel D. Consider	7590 09/04/2007		EXAM	INER
Paul D. Greeley, Esq. Ohlandt, Greeley, Ruggiero & Perle, L.L.P. 10th Floor One Landmark Square Stamford, CT 06901-2682			ANYIKIRE, CHIKAODILI E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2621	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/04/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *					
Office Action Summers		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/624,428	LU ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Chikaodili E. Anyikire	2621			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing end patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 July 2003</u> .					
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 21 July 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) dobjected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prioric application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachmen	t(s)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) 🛛 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>20040408</u> .	5) Notice of Informal Pa				

Application/Control Number: 10/624,428 Page 2

Art Unit: 2621

DETAILED ACTION

1. This application is responsive to application number (10624428) filed on September 21, 2003. Claims 1-10 are pending and have been examined.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. Acknowledgement is made of applicant's information disclosure statement.

Drawings

3. Figures 1 and 2 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

- 4. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:
 - Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.
- 5. Claim 10 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. An acceptable form of the preamble of claim 10, "A computer readable medium encoded with computer executable instructions for

controlling the processing of a system that decodes a coded data stream, said set of computer executable instructions performing". See "101 Interim Guidelines for Examination of Patent Application for Patent Subject Matter Eligibility", Annex IV, Computer-Related Non-Statutory Subject matter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claim 2 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yoshioka et al (US 6,310,921).

As per claim 2, Yoshioka et al disclose a power aware decompression method for decoding a predicatively encoded data stream, comprising:

- (a) generating a first selection signal which signals whether the data to be used for prediction resides in primary memory in part or in whole (Fig 4; Col 11 Lin 13- Col 11 Lin 28);
- (b) if the first selection signal indicates that a portion of the said prediction data or the whole of the said prediction data is not present in primary memory (Fig 4, 4-6; Col 12 Ln 1-21 and Col 13 Ln 53-Col 14 Ln 9):
 - i. generating a second selection signal, based on an estimate of the future needs of the prediction process, to signal that portion of the primary memory

Application/Control Number: 10/624,428 Page 4

Art Unit: 2621

where the prediction data, which is not already present in primary memory, should reside (Figs 4-6; Col 12 Ln 1-21), and

- ii. transferring said prediction data that is not already present in primary memory, from secondary memory to that portion of the primary memory indicated by the second selection signal (Figs 4-6 and 10; Col 11 Ln 13- Ln 41 and Col 12 Ln 1-Col 13 Ln 10), and
- (c) generating a prediction signal to be used in the process of decompression by manipulating data residing in primary memory (Fig 4-6 and 10; Col 11 Ln 30- Ln 41 and Col 14 Ln 10-48).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Art Unit: 2621

10. Claims 1, 3-7 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hoogenboom et al (US 5,675,387) in view of Yoshioka et al (US 6,310,921).

As per claim 1, Hoogenboom et al disclose a decoding power aware encoding method for generating a predicatively encoded data stream, in which predictions, that result in a reduction in the amount of data transferred from the secondary memory to primary memory during the decoding process, are favored, said method for favoring certain predictions comprising:

- (a) a model (Fig 1) for transfer of data from secondary memory (Fig 1, 22 and 30) incorporating a memory management in the decoding process (Col 5 Ln 53-61 and Col 6 Ln 56- Col 7 Ln 5);
- (b) a scheme for weighting the relative merits of favoring a certain prediction and the associated loss in compression gain (Col 11 Ln 35- Col 12 Ln 2), and
- (c) based on said weighting scheme, choosing a particular prediction from the candidates allowed by the compression scheme (Col 11 Ln 66- Col 12 Ln 2; the prior art explains the motion vector and the factor being scaled with 2).

Hoogenboom et al disclose a memory management model that reduces the number of access to the secondary memory (DRAM 22), which will result in a reduced power decoding (Col 3 Ln 62-67).

However, Hoogenboom et al does not explicitly teach using a primary memory in addition to the secondary memory and conventional buffer memories.

In the same field of endeavor, Yoshioka et al teach utilizing primary memory (onchip memory) in addition to the internal memories as a primary memory (Fig 4, 4). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified the invention of Hoogenboom et al with the invention of Yoshioka et al to include the primary memory (on-chip memory) in the memory management system of Hoogenboom et al. The advantage is the modification allows the invention to reduce the number of access to the external memory resulting in a decoding system with lower power consumption.

As per claim 3, Hoogenboom et al disclose a method for decoding a coded data stream comprising:

- (a) processing the coded data stream to produce outputted decoded data frames (Fig 1, compressed bitstream 4 has been processed to produce output video frames 38);
- (b) transmitting signals to and receiving signals from an external memory for storage and retrieval of previously decoded reference data frames (Fig 1, 22; Col 5 Ln 53- Col 6 Ln 4 and Col 6 Ln 56-59).
- (c) transmitting signals to and receiving signals from a memory manager for retrieval of data frames being decoded currently (fig 1, 30; Col 5 Ln 53-Col 6 Ln 4 and Col 6 Ln 56-59).

However, Hoogenboom et al does not explicitly teach (c) transmitting signals to and receiving signals from a primary memory for storage and retrieval of data frames being decoded currently.

In the same field of endeavor, Yoshioka et al teach (c) transmitting signals to and receiving signals from a primary memory (Fig 4, 3 and 4) for storage and retrieval of data frames being decoded currently (Col 12 Ln 8-33 and Col 13 Ln 11-30).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified the invention of Hoogenboom et al with the invention of Yoshioka et al to include the primary memory(on-chip memory) in the memory management system of Hoogenboom et al. The advantage is the modification allows the invention to reduce the number of access to the external memory resulting in a decoding system with lower power consumption.

As per claim 4, Hoogenboom et al disclose a system for decoding a coded data stream comprising:

- (a) a processor for outputting the decoded data frames (Fig 1, compressed bitstream 4 has been processed to produce output video frames 38);
 - (b) an external memory (Fig 1, 22);
- (c) high speed access relative to the external memory's speed (Fig 1, 22 and 30; Col 6 Ln 15-35 and Col 7 Ln 12-31; DRAM 22 maybe provided as internal memory within the video decomposition processor), and
- (d) a memory management scheme (Fig 1, 30) for decreasing the amount of traffic to the external memory (Fig 1, 22) so as to provide better real-time performance and power saving by a connection arrangement for transmission from the processor to the external memories (Fig 1, 22; Col 3 Ln 39-67).

Art Unit: 2621

However, Hoogenboom et al does not explicitly teach an internal primary memory having high speed access relative to the external memory's speed.

In the same field of endeavor, Yoshioka et al teach utilizing an internal memory having high speed access relative to the external memory's speed (Fig 4, 4; Col 12 Ln 8-33 and Col 13 Ln 11-30).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified the invention of Hoogenboom et al with the invention of Yoshioka et al to include the primary memory(on-chip memory) in the memory management system of Hoogenboom et al. The advantage is the modification allows the invention to reduce the number of access to the external memory resulting in a decoding system with lower power consumption.

As per claim 5, Hoogenboom et al disclose a system as defined in claim 4, comprising motion compensation function (Fig 1, 46) of data decoding (Fig 1, 30; Col 6 Ln 36-48).

However, Hoogenboom et al does not explicitly teach said internal primary memory is dedicated to the motion compensation of data decoding.

In the same field of endeavor, Yoshioka et al teach said internal primary memory (Fig 4, 4; Col 12 Ln 8-33 and Col 13 Ln 11-30).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified the invention of Hoogenboom et al with the invention of Yoshioka et al to include the primary memory (on-chip memory) in the memory management system of Hoogenboom et al. The advantage is the modification allows the invention to reduce

Art Unit: 2621

the number of access to the external memory resulting in a decoding system with lower power consumption.

As per claim 6, Hoogenboom et al disclose a system as defined in claim 4, wherein the processor receives the data stream at its input, and has output respectively connected to the external memories(Fig 1, 22) and a further output providing decoded data frames (Fig 1, compressed bitstream 4 has been processed to produce output video frames 38).

However, Hoogenboom et al does not explicitly teach internal memories.

In the same field of endeavor, Yoshioka et al teach internal memories (Fig 4, 4; Col 12 Ln 8-33 and Col 13 Ln 11-30).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified the invention of Hoogenboom et al with the invention of Yoshioka et al to include the primary memory (on-chip memory) in the memory management system of Hoogenboom et al. The advantage is the modification allows the invention to reduce the number of access to the external memory resulting in a decoding system with lower power consumption.

As per claim 7, Hoogenboom et al disclose a system for decoding a coded data stream comprising:

(a) a processor for outputting decoded data frames (Fig 1, compressed bitstream 4 has been processed to produce output video frames 38);

(b) motion compensation means having a memory for storing a reference data frame as well as a data frame being decoded currently (Fig 1, 30 and 46; Col 6 Ln 36-48);

- (c) an external memory (Fig 1, 22; Col 5 Ln 53- Col 6 Ln 48);
- (d) high speed access relative to the external memory (Fig 1, 30 and 46; Col 6 Ln 15-35 and Col 7 Ln 12-31; DRAM 22 maybe provided as internal memory within the video decomposition processor), and
- (e) motion compensation function of decoding (Fig 1, 30, 42, 44, and 46; Col 6 Ln 36-48).

However, Hoogenboom et al does not explicitly teach an internal memory having high speed access relative to the external memory.

In the same field of endeavor, Yoshioka et al teach an internal memory having high speed access relative to the external memory (Fig 4, 4; Col 12 Ln 8-33 and Col 13 Ln 11-30).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified the invention of Hoogenboom et al with the invention of Yoshioka et al to include the primary memory (on-chip memory) in the memory management system of Hoogenboom et al. The advantage is the modification allows the invention to reduce the number of access to the external memory resulting in a decoding system with lower power consumption.

As per claim 10, arguments analogous to those presented for the rejection of claim 3 are applicable to the rejection claim 10.

Art Unit: 2621

Furthermore, Yoshioka's memory controller 6 (Figs 4 and 10) controls the amount of traffic to the external memory.

11. Claims 8 and 9 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee et al (US 5,675,387) in view of Yoshioka et al (US 6,310,921).

As per claim 8, Lee et al disclose a system for encoding an input data frame comprising:

- (a) a motion estimator for receiving an input frame and for searching to find the best match between an input frame and an area in a reference frame (Fig 1, 20; Col 4 Ln 1-9 and Col 4 Ln 64- Col 5 Ln 7);
- (c) a motion vector selector coupled to the output of the motion estimator (Fig 8, 106, 108, and 110; Col 9 Ln 59- Col 10 Ln 65);
 - (d) a memory for storing data reference frames (Fig 1, 16; Col 4 Ln 48-63), and
- (e) a quality and rate controller coupled to the motion vector selector (Fig 8, 100, 102, 104; Col 9 Ln 59- Col 10-65).

However, Lee et al does not explicitly teach a primary memory model coupled to the motion estimator.

In the same field of endeavor, Yoshioka et al teach a primary memory model (Fig 4, 4) coupled to the motion estimator (Fig 4, 7; Col 13 Ln 11-30).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified the invention of Lee et al with the invention of Yoshioka et al to include the primary memory (on-chip memory) in the memory management system of Hoogenboom

et al. The advantage is the modification allows the invention to reduce the number of access to the external memory resulting in a decoding system with lower power consumption.

As per claim 9, Lee et al disclose a system for encoding a data frame as defined in claim 8 further comprising a motion vectors module for determining the motion vectors based on the current block and the best matched candidate (Fig 7; Col 8 Ln 7-67).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chikaodili E. Anyikire whose telephone number is (571) 270-1445. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday, 7:30 am to 5 pm, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mehrdad Dastouri can be reached on (571) 272 - 7418. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/624,428 Page 13

Art Unit: 2621

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

CEA

MEHRDAD DASTOURI
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TC 2600